

SBRMB NA Board Meeting
January 10, 2024
3:00 —4:30 pm Zoom

In Attendance: Alice Rogers, Katy Liddell, Gary Pegueros, Mike Anthony, Bruce Agid

Agenda

1. Elections— Katy, Bruce, Gary all wish to remain on the Board for another 2-year term. Mike advises he is stepping down in March. Therefore, we are looking for a paid member to run for election in March to fill Mike's seat for a 2-year term.

For the two other positions (Peggy, Evan), they can be filled by Alice appointing them for a 1-year term, subject to the Board's review and approval.

Our imminent tasks seem to be appointing a Nominating Committee and rustling up possible candidate(s).

Action: Board members will reach out to various potential Board candidates to see if there is interest.

Mike (Marthe Stanek and Nick Unkovic), Alice (Maddie Fitz and Katina Johnson), Katy (Mike Linksvayer).

Action: Board members will reach out to membership for potential Nominating Committee members. We need 3. Gary (Robert Mansfield, Shelley Carroll), Mike (Nick Unkovic).

2. Website—Needs repair and stand-by consultant to keep it in current WordPress format, OR redo using different program that supports payments and advocacy letter generation. And discussion on if we need a formatted newsletter design to communicate with members.

The Board agreed that finding an IT consultant to help with the website is the first step. Either repair WordPress or switch to another platform. At this time, no need (or time) for a newsletter.

Action: Mike to contact a neighbor from The Towers who is an IT person.

3. I-280 ramp proposal— The I-280 off ramp proposal being put forward by the SFCTA. A guy from San Mateo is trying to kill it and has signed on the Potrero Boosters, DNA, and the Sierra Club, among others; he's been pushing for our NA to join the opposition.

The Board discussed and agreed that since we do not advocate or endorse, we will look to invite both sides of this issue to a presentation at a future meeting.

No Action at this time.

4. Nascent MB NA--Our role vis a vis a new MB NA, if it actually gets launched.

The Board discussed and agreed that at this time, our NA will remain as is (SB/R/MB). If and when the Mission Bay NA is up and running, if an issue is made over a 'conflict,' our Board can discuss the matter.

No Action at this time.

5. Do we want to establish and recruit for committees, including Member Recruitment Committee; Revitalized Social Committee; Other?
The Board discussed briefly. Once we have new Board members in place, we can revisit this.

No Action at this time.

Topics for future discussion

6. Eastern Neighborhood Waterfront Neighborhood Coalition—Do we want to resurrect?

7. Do we want to consider a CBD?